Eaton Brook Reservoir, Madison Co., Eatonbrook Lake Association

,	•					
NEW YORK Department of		Surface Area (ac/ha)		269	109	
STATE OF OPPORTUNITY Environmental		Max Depth (ft/m)				
Conservation	Lake	Mean Depth (ft/m)		20	6	
	Characteristics	Retention Time (years)		1.27		
		Water Class		В		
		Dam Class	3	С	С	
		Watershed Area(a	c/ha)	4911	1987	
		Watershed/Lake Ratio		18		
	Watershed	Lake and Wetlands		12.9%		
	Characteristics	Agricultural		18.5%		
	r	Forests, shrubs, grasses		67.8%		
		Residential		0.7%		
		Urban		0.0%		
		Years	1988 -17	-2000, 2010-20)13, 2016	
	CSLAP	Mik		Gleason		
Lakes and Wetlands Agricultural Urban Forest, shrubs, and grasses Residential	Participation	Volunteers				
			Γ			

Trophic State

Mesoligotrophic

HABs Susceptibility

Low

Invasive Vulnerability
High

PWL Assessment
Fully Supports
Uses


Open Water		Seasonal								
Indicators	6/2	6/13	6/27	7/11	7/26	8/8	8/23	9/4	Change	Term Āvg.
Chl.a (µg/L)	1	1.3	2.7	2.5	2.9	3.2	1.3	2.5	\	3.3
BG Chl.a (µg/L)	0.3	1.1	0.5	1.5	0.5	0.5	0.7	0.3	\	0.3
Clarity (m)	7.4	5	6.8	3.9	3.2	2.7	4.9	4.6	>	5.0
рН	6.9	6.8	6.5	6.8	7.5	7.2	8.1	7.5	\	7.9
Cond (µmho/cm)	164.9	146.1	133	143.3	145.8	136.1	147.7	124.1	\	159
Surf Temp (°C)	17	23	24	24	24	24	25	20		21
Bott Temp (°C)	16	19	20	18						18
TN (mg/L)	.39	.31	.435	.731	.519	.213	0	.253	<	0.319
TP (mg/L)	.008	.01	.011	.009	.015	.024	.011	.011	~	0.009
Deep TP (mg/L)	.007	.011	.009	.009					~	0.014
N:P Ratio	49	31	40	81	35	9	0	23	\	

Shoreline bloom and HABs notifications


Date of fir	Date of first listing)	# of weeks on DEC notification list			on list	# of weeks with updates			
Shoreline	HAB Sam	ple Dates	2017								
HAB Indicators	HAB Criteria										
BGA	25 µg/L	NA									
Microcystin	20 μg/L	NA									
Anatoxin-a		NA									

HAB Status


2017 Open Water Algae Samples




2017 Open Water Toxin Levels



2017 Shoreline Algae Samples




2017 Shoreline Toxin Levels




Eaton Brook Reservoir Long Term Trend Analysis


Clarity




Surface and Deep Phosphorus



Chlorophyll a





Lake Perception




Eaton Brook Reservoir Long Term Trend Analysis

Nitrogen






Temperature




Specific Conductance




Eaton Brook Reservoir In-Season Analysis

In Season Temperature



In Season Water Clarity



Scorecard

Lake Use								
Potable Water				No impacts				
Swimming				No impacts	Supported/Good			
Recreation				High nutrients	Threatened/Fair			
Aquatic Life				Invasive animals	Stressed/Poor			
Aesthetics				Invasive plants	Impaired Not Known			
Habitat		•	•	Invasive plants	Netralowii			
Fish Consumption				Not applicable				
	PWL	Average Year	2017	Primary Issue				

Summary

2017 compared to prior years: Eatonbrook Reservoir is *mesoligotrophic*, or moderately unproductive, although conditions in 2017 were more typical of *mesotrophic*, or moderately productive lakes. Water clarity was slightly lower than usual, consistent with slightly higher nutrient (phosphorus and nitrogen) levels in 2017. However, algae levels (as measured by chlorophyll *a*) were lower than usual throughout the 2017 sampling season. Conductivity was also lower than usual, and deepwater temperatures may have been slightly higher.

Compared to nearby lakes: Eatonbrook Reservoir has higher water clarity and lower nutrient and algae levels than the typical nearby (Central region) lake. Aquatic plant coverage is probably similar to the plant coverage in many of these other lakes, and with the high water clarity in Eatonbrook Reservoir, recreational assessments are more favorable than in nearby lakes. Chloride levels are between background levels and the 25th percentile for New York lakes, suggesting only a low potential for aquatic life impacts from road salt.

Trends: Algae levels have decreased significantly over the last 25 years, resulting in an increase in water clarity (although the latter increase is not statistically significant). This may be in response to the colonization by zebra mussels, and may have contributed to an increase in aquatic plant coverage. pH and conductivity have also decreased over this period.

Algal blooms and HABS: Water quality conditions indicated a low susceptibility for algae blooms, and no algae blooms have been reported. Algae levels in the open water are low and comprised of a mix of algae species. No blooms were reported in 2017.

Aquatic invasive species: Eurasian watermilfoil, curly-leafed pondweed and zebra mussels have been found in the lake for many years, indicating a high vulnerability for new AIS introductions.

Indicated Actions: Individual stewardship activities such as pumping your septic system, growing a buffer of native plants next to the water bodies, and reducing erosion from shoreline properties and runoff into the lake will help to improve lake health by reducing nutrient and sediment loading to the lake. Visiting boats should be inspected to reduce the risk of new invasive species, and continued monitoring for invasive species is warranted. Continued algae bloom education and monitoring for HABs is recommended, since HABs have been documented in many nearby lakes.

How to Read the Report

This guide provides a description of the CSLAP report by section and a glossary. The sampling site is indicated in the header for lakes with more than one routine sampling site.

Physical Characteristics influence lake quality:

- Surface area is the lake's surface in acres and hectares.
- Max depth is the water depth measured at the deepest part of the lake in feet and meters.
- Mean depth is either known from lake bathymetry or is 0.46 of the maximum depth.
- Retention time is the time it takes for water to pass through a lake in years.
 This indicates the influence of the watershed on lake conditions.
- Lake classification describes the "best uses" for this lake. Class AA, AAspec, and A lakes may be used as sources of potable water. Class B lakes are suitable for contact recreational activities, like swimming. Class C lakes are suitable for non-contact recreational activities, including fishing, although they may still support swimming. The addition of a T or TS to any of these classes indicates the ability of a lake to support trout populations and/or trout spawning.
- Dam classification defines the hazard class of a dam. Class A, B, C, and D dams are defined as low, intermediate, high, or negligible/no hazard dams in that order. "0" indicates that no class has been assigned to a particular dam, or that no dam exists.

Watershed characteristics influence lake water quality:

- Watershed area in acres and hectares
- Land use data come from the most recent (2011) US Geological Survey National Land Use Cover dataset

CSLAP Participation lists the sampling years and the current year volunteers.

Key lake status indicators summarize lake conditions:

- Trophic state of a lake refers to its nutrient loading and productivity, measured by phosphorus, algae, and clarity. An oligotrophic lake has low nutrient and algae levels (low productivity) and high clarity while a eutrophic lake has high nutrient and algae levels (high productivity) and low clarity. Mesotrophic lakes fall in the middle.
- Harmful algal bloom susceptibility summarizes the available historical HAB data and indicates the potential for future HAB events.
- Invasive vulnerability indicates whether aquatic invasive species are found in this lake or in nearby lakes, indicating the potential for further introductions.
- Priority waterbody list (PWL) assessment is based on the assessment of use categories and summarized as fully supported, threatened, stressed,

impaired, or precluded. Aesthetics and habitat are evaluated as good, fair, or poor. The cited PWL assessment reflects the "worst" assessment for the lake. The full PWL assessment can be found at http://www.dec.ny.gov/chemical/36730.html#WIPWL.

Current year sampling results

- Results for each of the sampling sessions in the year are in tabular form. The seasonal change graphically shows the current year results. Red shading indicates eutrophic readings.
- HAB notification periods on the DEC website, updated weekly http://www.dec.ny.gov/chemical/83310.html
- Shoreline HAB sample dates and results. Samples are collected from the area that appears to have the worst bloom. Red shading indicates a confirmed HAB.
- HAB sample algae analysis. Algae types typically change during the season.
 These charts show the amount of the different types of algae found in each midlake or shoreline sample. Samples with high levels of BGA are HABs. The
 second set of charts show the level of toxins found in open water and shoreline
 samples compared to the World Health Organization (WHO) guidelines.
- If there are more than ten shoreline bloom samples collected in a year, bloom sample information is instead summarized by month (May-Oct.) as minimum, average, and maximum values for blue-green algae and microcystin.

Long Term Trend Analysis puts the current year findings in context. Summer averages (mid-June thru mid-September) for each of the CSLAP years show trends in key water quality indicators. The graphs include relevant criteria (trophic categories, water quality standards, etc.) and boundaries separating these criteria.

In-Season Analysis shows water temperature and water clarity during the sampling season. These indicate seasonal changes and show the sample year results compared to the typical historical readings for those dates.

The Lake Use Scorecard presents the results of the existing Priority Waterbody List assessment for this lake in a graphical form and compares it to information from the current year and average values from CSLAP data and other lake information. Primary issues that could impact specific use categories are identified, although more issues could also affect each designated use.

The Lake Summary reviews and encapsulates the data in the lake report, and provides suggested actions for lake management.

Clarity (m): The depth to which a Secchi disk lowered into the water is visible, measured in meters. Water clarity is one of the trophic indicators for each lake.

TP (mg/L): Total phosphorus, measured in milligrams per liter at the lake surface (1.5 meters below the surface). TP includes all dissolved and particulate forms of phosphorus.

Deep TP: Total phosphorus measured in milligrams per liter at depth (1-2 meters above the lake bottom at the deepest part of the lake)

TN: Total nitrogen, measured in milligrams per liter at the lake surface. TN includes all forms of nitrogen, including **NOx** (nitrite and nitrate) and **NH**₄ (ammonia).

N:P Ratio: The ratio of total nitrogen to total phosphorus, unitless (mass ratio). This ratio helps determine if a lake is phosphorous or nitrogen limited.

Chl.a (µg/L): Chlorophyll a, measured in micrograms per liter. Indicates the amount of algae in the water column.

pH: A range from 0 to 14, with 0 being the most acidic and 14 being the most basic or alkaline. A healthy lake generally ranges between 6.5 and 8.5.

Cond (µmho/cm): Specific conductance is a measure of the conductivity of water. A higher value indicates the presence of more dissolved ions. High ion concentrations indicate hardwater, and low show softwater.

Upper Temp (°C): Surface temperature, measured in degrees Celsius

Deep Temp (°C): Bottom temperature, measured in degrees Celsius

BG Chl.a (μg/L): Chlorophyll a from blue-green algae, measured in micrograms per liter

HABs: Harmful Algal Blooms. Algal blooms that have the appearance of cyanobacteria (BGA)

BGA: Blue-green algae, also known as cyanobacteria

Microcystin (μg/L): The most common HAB liver toxin; total microcystin above 20 micrograms per liter indicates a "high toxin" bloom. However, ALL BGA blooms should be avoided, even if toxin levels are low.

Anatoxin-a (µg/L): A toxin that may be produced in a HAB which targets the central nervous system. Neither EPA nor NYS has developed a risk threshold for anatoxin-a, although readings above 4 micrograms per liter are believed to represent an elevated risk.